



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

XXIX. *Theory of the Parallaxes of Altitude for the Sphere, by Mr. F. Mallet, Professor and Astronomer at Upsal; Translated from the French by M. Maty, M. D. R. S. Sec.*

Upsal, October 25, 1766.

Read Nov. 20, § 1. ^{1766.} **L**ET P be = the moon's horizontal parallax, or 1 to fin. P , as the moon's distance to the radius of the terrestrial sphere, on which the spectator is supposed to be placed. Let A be the distance of the moon from the zenith, and p the parallax of altitude for the same distance. The astronomers usually compute the value of p in the following manner: let fin. p = fin. P . Sin. A , and p' being found by the tables of logarithmic sines, fin. p'' = fin. P . fin. $A + p'$ is found in like manner, p'' being assumed for the true parallax, which is not accurate.

§ 2. In order to shew this, I have given another method of computing the parallax of altitude, as exactly as may be, by means of the common tables, in the following manner. Since fin. p = fin. P fin. $A + p$, we have fin. p = fin. P fin. A cos. p + fin. P cos. A x fin. p , or fin. p (1 - fin. P cos. A) = fin. P fin. A cos. p ; hence tang. p = $\frac{\text{fin. } P \text{ fin. } A}{1 - \text{fin. } P \text{ cos. } A}$. This formula seems a little difficult to be wrought in numbers, but it is as easy as the above one; for, supposing fin. B^2 = fin.

fin. P cof. A, the tables will give the angle B, and
 $\text{tang. } p = \frac{\text{fin. P fin. A}}{\text{cof. B}^2}$, the computation of which can
 give no trouble. Hence it appears, that the calculus
 for finding the true parallax is not more difficult than
 that, which gives the said parallax with an error, the
 value of which is unknown; for it is evident that the
 above computation for finding p'' is only an approxi-
 mation, and that, to make it accurate, it would be ne-
 cessary to carry it still on by finding $\text{fin. } p''' = \text{fin. P}$
 $\text{fin. } (\overline{A + p''})$, and afterwards $\text{fin. } p'''' = \text{P fin.}$
 $(\overline{A + p''})$ &c.

§ 3. I therefore think myself in the right to prefer
 my method to that hitherto used by astronomers. To
 confirm my opinion, I made a trial, by putting $P = 59'$
 and $A = 30^\circ$, and found $p - p'' = 0'',43$, in which
 the error of the usual computation amounts to near
 half a second; I therefore give the preference to the
 geometrical calculus.

§ 4. Before I quit the formula $\text{tang. } p =$
 $\frac{\text{fin. P fin. A}}{1 - \text{fin. P cof. A}}$, I must observe, that the computation
 of p may be executed by other methods to the same
 exactness. If we take $\text{cof. } 2C = \text{fin. P cof. A}$, we
 shall have $\text{tang. } p = \frac{\text{fin. P fin. A}}{2 (\text{fin. C})^2}$, and the computation
 of this new formula is extremely easy.

§ 5. The formula $\text{tang. } p = \frac{\text{fin. P fin. A}}{1 - \text{fin. P cof. A}}$, gives
 besides, $\text{fin. } p = \frac{\text{fin. P fin. A}}{\sqrt{1 + \text{fin. P}^2 - 2 \text{fin. P cof. A}}}$; make fin.
 $P = 2 \text{ cof. D}$, D being a given angle, of which we
 may have tables ready made, and we shall have fin.
 $p =$

$$p = \frac{\sin. P \sin. A}{\sqrt{1 + 2 \sin. P (\cos. D - \cos. A)}} = \frac{\sin. P \sin. A}{\sqrt{1 + 4 \sin. P \sin. \frac{A+D}{2} \sin. \frac{A-D}{2}}}$$

$\sin. \frac{A-D}{2}$; since $\cos. D - \cos. A = 2 \sin. \frac{A+D}{2} \sin. \frac{A-D}{2}$.
 This being found without any logarithmic computation, we shall find $\tan. E^2 = 4 \sin. P \sin. \frac{A+D}{2} \sin. \frac{A-D}{2}$, if $A > D$, and hence we may easily compute $\sin. p = \sin. P \sin. A \cos. E$; but if $A < D$ we shall find $\cos. F^2 = 4 \sin. P \sin. \frac{A+D}{2} \sin. \frac{A-D}{2}$ and hence $\sin. p = \frac{\sin. P \sin. A}{\sin. F}$.

§ 6. Similar formulæ may be found for $\cos. p$, but as the angle p is pretty small, one might easily fall into some error by the usual tables of logarithms. I shall not say what would be the amount of this error of p , having furnished the manner of avoiding it; but this remark has not, I think, as yet been made in astronomical calculations; and I have found it of great consequence in computing eclipses, where the distances to be found are very small arches.

§ 7. It may moreover be observed, that if $A = D$, $\sin. p = \sin. P \sin. A$; hence $p' = p$ in the same case, and $p'' > p$, which seems very odd; but the moon then is below the sensible horizon.

Theory of the apparent Diameters of the Moon.

§ 1. First the expression of horizontal diameter of the moon, or of the diameter seen at the horizon, seems to me too vague; for one ought to understand by it the diameter seen at the center of the terrestrial

terrestrial sphere, rather than the apparent diameter at the horizon, which is not affected by refraction. Without this, if the one was confounded with the other, an error would arise for the latitude of Paris from $0'',25$ to $0'',32$.

§ 2. Let us keep the same denominations of P , p , and A , and call D the apparent semi-diameter of the moon at the centre of the sphere, and d the apparent semi-diameter of the moon at the zenith distance $=A$. We shall have $\sin. A : \sin. \overline{A+p} :: \text{tang. } D : \text{tang. } d$, or if one will, $\sin. A : \sin. \overline{A+p} :: D : d$: the error not exceeding an 100th part of a second.

§ 3. We had above $\sin. p = \sin. P \sin. \overline{A+p}$. Hence $\sin. P \sin. A : \sin. p :: (\text{tang. } D : \text{tang. } d) :: D : d$, or because $\sin. p = \frac{\text{cof. } p \sin. P \sin. A}{1 - \sin. P \text{ cof. } A}$, $1 - \sin. P \text{ cof. } A : \text{cof. } p :: D, d$, and $d = \frac{D \text{ cof. } p}{1 - \sin. P \text{ cof. } A}$.

§ 4. Mr. Euler, in the Memoirs of the Academy of Berlin, 1747, pag. 175, makes this same value $= \frac{V}{1 - p^2 \sin. b}$, and according to him, $V = D.M = \sin. P \sin. b = \text{cof. } \overline{A+p}$; from whence it appears, that the true value of the apparent diameter of the moon, is not more difficult to be computed than the approximated one of Mr. Euler, the exact and geometrical formula being $\text{tang. } d = \frac{\text{tang. } D \text{ cof. } p}{1 - \sin. P \text{ cof. } A}$ and that of Mr. Euler $d = \frac{D}{1 - \sin. P \text{ cof. } \overline{A+p}}$; for in both, the values of D , A and p must be employed.

§ 5.

§ 5. It likewise appears to me, that since $\frac{\text{cof. } p}{1 - \text{fin. } P \text{ cof. } A}$
 $= \frac{\text{fin. } p}{\text{fin. } P \text{ fin. } A}$ and therefore $\text{tang. } d = \frac{\text{tang. } D \text{ fin. } p}{\text{fin. } P \text{ fin. } A}$, astro-
 nomers ought no less to employ this last formula, than
 any other more troublesome, in practical computation.
 The simplest is $\text{tang. } d = \frac{\text{tang. } D \text{ fin. } \overline{A + p}}{\text{fin. } A}$, upon the
 supposition of an exact table of the parallaxes of
 altitudes ready made; and I believe it will be
 as easy to compute with tangents as with arches,
 by means of logarithms; and therefore this simpli-
 fication in putting arches instead of tangents is
 unnecessary.

§ 6. To try the consequences of this theory, I made
 $A = 30^\circ$, $D = 15'$, and taking the vertical of Upsal to
 the terrestrial axis for the radius of the sphere, I found
 $P = 55', 10'', 3$, supposing that the axis of the earth,
 is to the diameter of the equator as 199 to 200, and by
 the formulæ $\text{tang. } d = \frac{\text{tang. } D \text{ fin. } \overline{A + p}}{\text{fin. } A} = \frac{\text{tang. } D \text{ cof. } p}{1 - \text{fin. } P \text{ cof. } A}$
 $= \frac{\text{tang. } D \text{ fin. } p}{\text{fin. } P \text{ fin. } A}$, I found $d = 15', 12'', 664$, but by
 the formula $d = \frac{D \text{ cof. } p}{1 - \text{fin. } P \text{ cof. } A}$, I had $d = 15', 12'',$
 675 . and lastly by that of Euler $d = \frac{D}{1 - \text{fin. } P \text{ cof. } \overline{A + p}}$
 we have $d = 15', 12'', 635$; from whence it appears
 that the error is very small, but that with the same
 trouble one may avoid any error whatsoever.

§ 7. The present case did not give an error of
 $0'', 001$ in substituting 1 or the radius instead of cof.
 p . Hence I conclude that $d = \frac{D}{1 - \text{fin. } P \text{ cof. } A}$ will be
 a more

a more exact formula than that of Euler $d = \frac{D}{1 - \sin. P \cos. A + p}$.

§ 8. By taking $d = \frac{D}{1 - \sin. P \cos. A}$, we have $d - D = \frac{D \sin. P \cos. A}{1 - \sin. P \cos. A} = \frac{D \sin. p \cos. A}{\sin. A \cos. p} = \frac{D \tan. p}{\tan. A}$, which affords an elegant theorem, to find the increase of the apparent diameter of the moon.

§ 9. I have found others by the following methods. Since $\sin. A : \sin. A + p :: \tan. D : \tan. d$, and $\sin. A : \sin. A + p - \sin. A :: \tan. D : \tan. d - \tan. D :: \sin. D \cos. d : \sin. d - D$; but $\cos. D = \cos. d$ without any sensible error, and $\sin. D \cos. D = \frac{1}{2} \sin. 2 D$, and $\sin. A + p - \sin. A = 2 \sin. \frac{1}{2} p \cos. A + \frac{1}{2} p$, we shall have $\sin. d - D = \frac{\sin. 2 D \sin. \frac{1}{2} p \cos. A + \frac{1}{2} p}{\sin. A}$. In the same manner, as I before found $\sin. p' = \sin. P \sin. A$ and $\sin. P \sin. A : \sin. p :: \tan. D : \tan. d$, hence $\sin. p' : \sin. p - \sin. p' :: \sin. p' : 2 \sin. \frac{p - p'}{2} \cos. \frac{p + p'}{2} :: \frac{1}{2} \sin. 2 D \sin. \frac{p - p'}{2} \cos. \frac{p + p'}{2}$.
 $\sin. 2 D : \sin. d - D = \frac{\sin. 2 D \sin. \frac{p - p'}{2} \cos. \frac{p + p'}{2}}{\sin. P \sin. A}$.

§ 10. Lastly let L = the distance of the moon from the center of the sphere, l its radius, that of the sphere being = 1, we have $1 : L :: \sin. P : 1$ and $L : l :: 1 : \tan. D$ or $1 : l :: \sin. P : \tan. D = l \sin. P$; hence $l = \frac{\tan. D}{\sin. P}$ being once found, since $\sin. A : \sin. A + p :: \tan. D : \tan. d$, and $\sin. A + p : \sin. p :: 1 : \sin. P$, we shall have $\sin. A : \sin. p :: \tan. D : \sin. P \tan. d :: l : \tan. d = \frac{l \sin. p}{\sin. A}$. I found the logarithm of $l =$

9.4343965 at Upsal, by putting 10 for that of the radius of the sphere determined as before.

F. Mallet.

XXX. *A Catalogue of the Fifty Plants from Chelsea Garden, presented to the Royal Society by the worshipful Company of Apothecaries, for the Year 1765, pursuant to the Direction of Sir Hans Sloane, Bart. Med. Reg. et Soc. Reg. nuper Præses: By William Hudson, Societatis Regiæ & clariss. Societatis Pharmaceut. Lond. Soc. Hort. Chelsean. Præfectus et Prælector Botanic.*

Read Nov. 20, 2151
1766.

ACHYRANTHES lanata,
caule prostrato, spicis ovatis
lateralibus, calycibus tomentosis. Lin. Sp. pl.
296. Mill. Dict. tab. 11. fig. 1.

Amaranthus Indicus verticillatus albus, foliis
lanugine incanis. Pluk. alm. 27. tab. 79. f. 8.

2152 Andrachne procumbens herbacea. Lin. Sp. pl.
1439.

Telephoides Græcum humifusum flore albo.
Tourn. cor. 50. Dill. Hort. Elth. 377. tab.
282 f. 364.

2153 Bryonia Africana, foliis palmatis quinquepartitis
utrinque lævibus: laciniis pinnatifidis. Lin.
Sp. pl. 1438.

Bryonia